Visual Measurements of the Neglected Double Star ARY 52 at the Pine Mountain Observatory Summer Science Research Workshop 2009

Thomas Frey¹, Holly Bensel², Robert Bensel², Fred Muller², Ryan Gasik², Monika Ruppe², Dave Scimeca², Jolyon Johnson³, and Danyal Medley⁴

- 1. California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo
 - 2. St Mary's School, Medford, Oregon
 - 3. California State University, Chico
 - 4. Celestron, Torrance, California

Abstract: Teachers and students from St. Mary's School, Medford, Oregon attended the astronomy research workshop at Pine Mountain Observatory. They learned the techniques and acquired proper instrumentation skills necessary to perform visual double star measurements of known and neglected double stars, analyze data, and write a paper on their findings for publication. Their intent is to take these skills back and incorporate them into science projects at St. Mary's.

Introduction

Students and teachers from St. Mary's School in Medford, Oregon and Willamette University in Salem, Oregon participated in a summer research workshop at the University of Oregon's Pine Mountain Observatory (PMO) near Bend, Oregon, that was conducted by both professional and amateur astronomers, and an engineer from Celestron. Participants made observations with telescopes ranging from 6-28 inches in aperture. Projects included double star measurements, light curve generation of pulsating variable stars, and monitoring the proper motion of a nearby star. The students carried out research projects, analyzed data, gave presentations on their data, and wrote up their findings for publication.

The teachers and students from St. Mary's School were especially interested in performing work that could be applied to classroom projects at the high school level. When they return, the work carried out at PMO should enable the team to do this.

Equipment

The St. Mary's team performed double star projects with an f/4.5 18-inch Newtonian telescope that utilized an alt-az mount. This Obsession telescope was



Figure 1: The St. Mary's Team pictured, left to right: Thomas Frey, Professor Emeritus, California Polytechnic State University, with his 18" Obsession telescope; Monika Ruppe, student at St. Mary's and her father, David Ruppe; Holly Bensel, science teacher at St. Mary's; Ryan Gasik, student at St. Mary's; Dave Scimeca, retired technician, Ames Research Center; and Fred Muller, retired science teacher and substitute teacher at St.

provided by team member Frey. The tracking unit used a ServoCAT GOTO system and an Argo Navis computer. A Celestron 12.5 mm MicroGuide astromet-

ric eyepiece was used for all double star measure- Calibration of the Celestron Astrometric ments. A RadioShack LCD Stopwatch with a 0.01 sec- Eyepiece ond resolution was used to calibrate the linear scale on the Celestron eyepiece.

Locale

The University of Oregon's Pine Mountain Observatory is located at 43.8°N latitude and 120.9°W at an elevation of 6500 feet, near Bend, Oregon. The surrounding area is desert-like with low humidity and very dark skies. Observing sessions were plagued, however, with high altitude clouds that periodically moved in, creating hazy conditions. Of course, once the workshop was over and the students had left, the sky cleared becoming pristine and steady.

Double Star Measurement

Double stars have been observed visually for over 200 years. Studies involve determining the separation methods used to make visual double star measure- linear scale. ments with an alt-az telescope.

large as 200 arc seconds. Due to the inexperience of the division. students in making double star measurements, double stars were chosen for study that had separations greater than 30 arc seconds.

The position angle determination is especially chalto an outer circular protractor scale, where the position double star. angle is observed and recorded.

sen for evaluation for two reasons. First, for those do- ing motors were engaged. Delta Cephei was aligned ing double star measurements for the first time it is parallel with the linear scale so both stars were bracknecessary to choose a system that has stars that are eted by the two parallel lines of the linear scale. The easy to see with sufficiently different magnitudes to be distance between the centers of each star was to be able to assign as primary and secondary. Second, the estimated to the nearest 0.1 division and recorded. Ushazy sky conditions made it challenging to see the sec- ing the slow motion controls of the ServoCAT, the pair ondary. If it had been much dimmer, making the align- was moved along the linear scale to a new location and ment on the linear scale would have been difficult.

The linear scale on the astrometric eyepiece reguires calibration. Each division on the scale must be converted to the correct number of arc seconds. Argyle (p.152) suggests using a reference star of medium brightness at a declination between 60-75° to avoid timing errors. The reference star is allowed to pass along the linear scale and timed to the nearest 0.01 seconds. Many trials were performed to reduce random errors. The average of all of these trials was used to determine the scale constant (Z) for the telescopeeyepiece system. The scale constant is calculated using the formula:

$$Z = \frac{15.0411T_{ave}\cos\delta_{RS}}{D}$$

between the stars and their position angle, which is where Z is the scale constant (in arc seconds per divimeasured in degrees defining the orientation of the sion), T_{ave} is the average drift time, 15.0411 is the arc pair with respect to celestial north. Double star re- seconds per second of the Earth's rotation at the celessearch traditionally makes use of equatorial mounted tial equator, $\cos\delta_{RS}$ is the cosine of the reference star's telescopes (Argyle and Teague). Frey (2008) described declination, and D is the number of divisions on the

Alderamin (\alpha Cephei) was used as the reference The separation between double stars is measured star. The declination of this star is 62.58°. The average in arc seconds. Binary stars usually have separations drift time was 88.32 seconds (standard deviation, less than 10 arc seconds whereas optical double stars, 0.4766 seconds; mean error, 0.1231 seconds). These with different proper motions, can have separations as values yielded a scale constant of 10.20 arc seconds per

Separation and Position Angle Measurement: Known Double Star

To determine the accuracy and precision in measlenging with alt-az telescopes. The astrometric eye- uring double star parameters, and to give the students piece is rotated until the two stars are aligned with the practice, an extensively studied double star with publinear scale. The scope is then moved manually to a lished separation and position angle values was obposition where the primary star is allowed to drift served so experimental values could be compared to through the mid-mark of the linear scale and outward literature values. This was designated the "known"

Delta (δ) Cephei was chosen as the known double In this study, fairly bright double stars were cho-star. The telescope was properly aligned and the trackthe distance between the stars recorded again. The double stars were continually moved to reduce the ran-

were taken.

the actual case. Observers were taking measurements were much better. for the first time so they estimated the divisions betion.

determining the position angle, however, the observers perhaps insignificantly. had to estimate when the primary drifted across the exact center of the scale (star in motion), and read (on Separation and Position Angle Measurethe fly) the angle on the protractor as the primary ments of a Neglected Double Star made contact (star in motion). Also, as the primary the eyepiece.

the mean (ME) are given for both measurements.

After initially measuring the position angle of the urements. reference star, a comparison of the experimental value which was easier to read (larger numbers), was used 2. initially. But this scale is intended for use with tele-

dom error in the assignment of the distance between Newtonian telescope used in this study, however, the the two stars. Twenty different distance measurements outer scale should be used, where the values are the 360° compliment to those of the inner scale. The values The separation measurements showed a standard were quickly modified to the outer scale values and the deviation and mean error of zero. Obviously this is not comparison of the experimental to the literature values

Another possible source of error occurred in detertween the centers of the stars to the nearest whole or mining the position angle. When using an alt-az telehalf division instead of the nearest 0.1 divisions as in-scope, the image viewed through the eyepiece is in constructed. As a result, all of the distances were recorded stant rotation since the azimuth axis is oriented as 4.0 divisions separating the stars. With more experiaround the zenith instead of the north celestial pole as ence at the eyepiece, the observers should be able to in the case of an equatorial mount. As a result, it is estimate division increments with 0.1 division resolu- necessary to constantly readjust the alignment of the double star with respect to the linear scale. If not done The position angle measurements indicated a sig-frequently enough, the primary will begin to drift and nificant variance in estimating the angle as the pri- inaccurate position angles will be recorded. Instead of mary crossed the outer protractor scale. With separa- rotating the eyepiece 180 degrees after every other tion measurements, the tracking motors were engaged drift and realigning with the linear scale, observers so the observers had as much time as needed to make only rotated the eyepiece after every 6-7 drift runs their evaluations since the stars were not moving. In which would have increased the chance of error, albeit

Once the techniques of determining separation and star crossed the protractor scale, a parallax error could position angles were practiced with the known double be introduced as different values could be observed de- star, the team observed the neglected double star ARY pending on the angle as the observer looked through 52 in the constellation Boötes. Neglected double stars obtained from the Washington Double Star (WDS) The results of these measurements are shown in Catalog include unconfirmed binaries as well as double Table 1. The Besselian (Bess.) Epoch is the observation stars that have not been studied or resolved for many date in a fractional form as described by Argyle years. Again, due to hazy sky conditions, this star sys-(p.273). Standard deviation (SD) and standard error of tem was chosen because the magnitudes of both stars (7.6, 8.4) were bright enough to make effective meas-

The double star ARY 52, right ascension 15h with the literature value showed that it was in error by 12.4m, declination 52° 56m, had been studied in 2005. 20°. After examining the MicroGuide eyepiece more closely, it The WDS cited the separation and position angle as was observed that the two outer protractor scales had 147.1 arc seconds and 331 degrees, respectively. The values going in opposite directions. The inner scale, results of measurements of ARY 52 are shown in Table

It can be seen that the accuracy in determining scopes using a diagonal mirror between the eyepiece separation and position angle of the neglected star had and the telescope where the image is reversed. For the improved from the measurements performed on the

Table 1. Separation and Position Angle Measurements of Delta Cephei

	Separation (arc seconds)					Position Angle (degrees)						
Double Star	Bess. Epoch	Lit. Epoch	# Obs	SD/ME	Obs. Sep.	Lit. Sep.	DSep.	# Obs.	SD/ME	Obs. PA	Lit. PA	DPA
δ Cephei	B2009.540	2007	20	0.0/0.0	40.8	40.8	0.0	20	1.6/0.4	187.0	191	-4.0

		Separation (arc seconds)					Position Angle (degrees)					
Double Star	Bess. Epoch	Lit. Epoch	# Obs.	SD/ME	Obs. Sep.	Lit. Sep.	DSep.	# Obs.	SD/ME	Obs. PA	Lit. PA	DPA
ARY 52	B2009.543	2005	25	0.3/0.1	147.5	147.1	+0.4	15	1.6/0.4	330.5	331	-0.5

Table 2. Separation and Position Angle Measurements of ARY 52.

linear scale prior to each drift.

Analysis

necessary to have inexperienced observers practice observers. Teaching the technique of averted vision first on double stars of known, well established values was very helpful to moderate this problem. before attempting double star measurements of lesser studied double stars with fainter magnitudes. It is also possible that since ARY 52 had a separation that WDS catalog.

center of mass. Over time, their separation and posi- ing up findings for publication. tion angle can change, but their net direction of motion through space, i.e., their proper motion, is the same. ing astronomy projects that they could take back to Optical double stars are not bound by gravity and their their high school. Double star analysis is relatively chance line of sight separation is purely coincidental. straight forward and can be performed with equipment These stars are commonly separated by vast distances, available to most high schools. Here are some of views and they usually have significantly different proper expressed by the teachers and students about the motions through space. Data collected and analyzed by workshop: Medley and Johnson indicate the proper motion of the primary star in the ARY 52 system (SAO 29443) is participants in this project were calibrating a Cesion and 20.58 arc seconds per thousand years in decli- is, and why it is done, and measuring the angular dissecondary star (SAO 29442) in right ascension and dec-students, parents, and faculty members from St. lination is 1.70 and 50.60 (Tycho Catalog), respectively. Mary's School were pleased to find that these measurenold, an experienced double star observer in Flagstaff, in duplicating this sort project once they return to St.

known double star. A greater amount of time was mately 90% agreement in the proper motion vectors of taken in estimating the divisions separating the stars the two stars in order to be considered a true binary in ARY 52, and this translated into a separation very double star. Also, according to the Tycho Catalog, the close to the WDS value. In determining the position trigonometric parallax of the primary star is 7.39 milliangle, the astrometric eyepiece was rotated after every arc seconds and that of the secondary is 2.00 milli-arc 2-3 drift cycles instead of after every 6-7 drift cycles as seconds. This equates to distances of 441.14 and 1630 was done with the known double star. This resulted in light years, respectively. This data leads to the conclua more precise alignment of the double stars with the sion that ARY 52 is an optical pair and not a binary

The sky conditions at PMO were usually hazy every night during the workshop. This made detecting The results of these measurements show why it is the dimmer secondary star a problem for inexperienced

Conclusions and New Directions

The students and teachers from St. Mary's School spanned about 14 divisions on the linear scale, it was were very excited to participate in the summer reeasier to align the two stars between the two lines search workshop at Pine Mountain Observatory. Exthan it was with δ Cephei that only spanned 4 divi- cept for Frey and Johnson, none of the participants sions. Even though the skies were hazy, ARY 52 was had ever attempted double star measurements, yet bright enough to obtain excellent measurements that were able to obtain excellent scientific agreement becorrelated very well with the published data in the tween their experimental values and those of published data from the WDS catalog. Their five-day effort Double stars can be designated two ways. Binary involved instrument setup, orientation, instruction, stars are bound by gravity and rotate about a common observations, analysis, presentation of data, and writ-

The teachers had expressed an interest in develop-

The main techniques that were presented to the 15.44 arc seconds per thousand years in right ascen- lestron astrometric eyepiece, learning what calibration nation (Hipparchus Catalog). The proper motion of the tance and position angle between double stars. The In a personal communication with Johnson, Dave Ar- ments were easy to make. This gives them confidence Arizona, said a binary system should have approxi- Mary's School. Keeping track of and accurately re-

cording data is a useful and important skill for all stu- Acknowledgments dents to learn. The data sheet provided by Frey used to record data was straight forward and easy to use, making the learning process much simpler. Another important skill in all areas of life is learning to work together to accomplish a specific goal. This project allows novice and experienced observers to work hand-in -hand to accomplish a specific goal.

One of the most important aspects of this workshop was the exposure of high school students to professionals, sophisticated equipment, the scientific community, and a research-oriented environment; an experience most students would not have until college or later. The types of projects, along with the professional and amateur astronomers administering this workshop, showed that much thought and care went into its planning. The fact that each group finished the weekend workshop with a publishable paper was quite an amazing accomplishment in itself.

Workshops are important for the exchange of ideas and the development of teaching techniques. The St. Mary's team worked on how to implement the double Teague, Thomas, 2000, Sky and Telescope, July, 112star project into the school year. The size, scope, cost, and the research component make this project a perfect match for a semester long astronomy class as well as a project for our astronomy club. Students who have completed several observations could teach others how to do the same. For students who like technology, further studies could be conducted using the schools CCD camera and astronomy software. Other research projects could be performed such as a comparison between the Meade and Celestron astronomic eyepieces, a comparison of the accuracy and ease of viewing between different makes, sizes of telescopes, etc. One student's suggestion was to emphasize the "why?" Why are we doing this? Why study that star? Why does it matter? The emphasis on publishing and writing up the data is something the teachers and students need to keep in mind, that way the data taken can be used for future research.

The St. Mary's team would like to thank Russell Genet, Research Scholar in Residence at California Polytechnic State University for organizing and conducting this summer research workshop as well as for his candid suggestions in reviewing this paper. A lot of time, energy, and dedication went into making this experience a memorable one and an experience that will kindle excitement and the desire to come back next year. We also want to thank Gregory Bothun, Director of Pine Mountain Observatory, Rick Kang, Mark Dunaway, Kent Fairfield, and Allan Chambers for opening the facility and being on hand to answer questions and assist our needs. Acknowledgement is also directed to the faculty and staff at St. Mary's School for urging their students to participate in this workshop.

References

Argyle, Robert, Observing and Measuring Visual Double Stars, Springer, London, 2004.

Frey, Thomas G., Spring 2008, Journal of Double Star Observations, **3(2)**, p. 59-65.

Arnold, Dave, Winter 2006, Journal of Double Star Observations, **2(1)**, p. 13-20.

Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues. July 2009. European Space Agency. http://www.rssd.esa.int/index.php? project=HIPPARCOS.

Mason, Brian. The Washington Double Star Catalog. July 2009. Astrometry Department, U.S. Naval Observatory. http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/ wds.html.

Thomas Frey is a Professor Emeritus of Chemistry at California Polytechnic State University and was an Instructor at the PMO Workshop, 2009. Holly Bensel is a science teacher at St. Mary's School in Medford, Oregon. Robert Bensel is Holly Bensel's husband who reduced much of the collected double star data. Fred Muller is a retired science teacher and a substitute teacher at St. Mary's School. Ryan Gasik and Monika Ruppe are students at St. Mary's School. Dave Scimeca is a retired technician from Ames Research Center, Jolyon Johnson is a geology major at California State University, Chico and was an Instructor at the PMO Workshop, 2009. Danyal Medley is the Principal Engineer for Technology at Celestron.